



CLARIFICATION TO QUESTIONS ON RFP

MILLENNIUM CHALLENGE ACCOUNT – MOLDOVA

On Behalf of:

THE GOVERNMENT OF MOLDOVA

Funded by

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Through

THE MILLENNIUM CHALLENGE CORPORATION

Question 1:

Technical support staff - Should be the CVs included in the technical bid?

Answer 1:

No. Only CVs of the Key Staff proposed are required to be submitted with the Consultant's Technical Proposal. However, consultants are free to include CV of the supporting staff as well.

Question 2:

Bank guarantee / bid bond – is it required for the bid?

Answer 2:

No. Bank guarantee/Bid bond is not required for submitting the proposal of consulting services for supervision of M2 Road.

Question 3:

Are the TECH and FIN forms available in WORD and Excel files?

Answer 3:

Yes. All TECH and FIN forms in WORD are attached to this document.

Question 4:

If we will participate as part of consortium: In this case, should we re-register the consortium and whether our previous registration numbers will be cancelled?

Answer 4:

If you participate as consortium, you need not register again as consortium. However, SRA will keep the same registration number but the registered consultant will be replaced with registered consortium.

Question 5:

Could you please send us the list of companies that were present at the pre-bidding conference for Construction Supervision of Civil Works Contracts for Rehabilitation of M2 Chisinau-Soroca Road held on 12January 2012.

Answer 5:

The minutes of pre-proposal conference held on January 12 has been posted on SRA website at [http://files.asd.md/Construction Supervision/RRP CS Minutes of Pre-Proposal meeting.pdf](http://files.asd.md/Construction%20Supervision/RRP%20CS%20Minutes%20of%20Pre-Proposal%20meeting.pdf)

Question 6:

The issue of minimum 208 man-months has not yet been clarified, as the bid only will comprise man-month for the base phase and the subsequent year, all in all 24 months. It is mentioned in the Minutes under answer 25 that an amendment will be issued. When can we expect the amendment?

Answer 6:

Regarding the minimum man-month input, please refer to the Answer to the Question 10 as per minutes of the pre-proposal meeting: “208 man-moths refer to all contract options: base contract, option 1 period and option 2 period.”

The amendment has been issued. <http://files.asd.md/Construction%20Supervision/RRP-RFP-Construction-Supervision-Amendment-1.pdf>

Question 7:

In TOR page 126 is only mentioned construction contract of Lot 3 and Lot 4. As we have understood the tendering process, there should be two tenders for the supervision contract: one covering construction of Lot 1 and Lot 2 and a second consultancy covering construction contract for Lot 3 and Lot 4. May we ask you if the construction contract for Lot 1 and Lot 2 has been omitted or have we messed a set of TOR covering Construction of Lot 1 and Lot 2? In Annex A to TOR there is no reference to construction of Lot 1 and Lot 2.

Answer 7:

Yes there are two RFPs for the supervision of civil works contracts. The first RFP covers the supervision of two civil work contracts (Lot 1 and Lot 2) [http://www.asd.md/ENG/docs/SPN CS Lot1.pdf](http://www.asd.md/ENG/docs/SPN_CS_Lot1.pdf)), where as the second RFP covers the supervision of two civil work contracts (Lot 3 and Lot 4 [http://www.asd.md/ENG/docs/SPN CS Lot2.pdf](http://www.asd.md/ENG/docs/SPN_CS_Lot2.pdf))

Question 8:

We are in the process of preparing our proposal, but we find that there are still a few important issues which need to be clarified. We would therefore kindly ask you to postpone the submission date by two weeks allowing us to put together a thoroughly prepared offer.

Answer 8:

The reasons provided in the request could not be considered sufficient to grant the requested extension.